BEAUFORT HIGH 84 Sea Island Pkwy. Beaufort, SC 29907 9-12 High School GRADES 1,600 Students ENROLLMENT Daniel H. Durbin 843-322-2000 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Herman K. Gaither 843-322-2300 Earl Campbell 843-322-2356 BOARD CHAIR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2004 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: G00D Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 14 17 6 1 0 IMPROVEMENT RATING: EXCELLENT ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: Z This school met 9 out of 17 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM

WWW.SCEOC.ORG

#### PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Average	Excellent	N/A
2002	Average	Below Average	N/A
2003	Average	Unsatisfactory	No
2004	Good	Excellent	No

### DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

## HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS

		Our School	I	High Schools with Students Like Ours			
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006	
Passed 2 subtests	80.9	N/A	N/A	75.4	N/A	N/A	
Passed 1 subtest	12.4	N/A	N/A	13.3	N/A	N/A	
Passed no subtests	6.7	N/A	N/A	11.6	N/A	N/A	

# EXIT EXAM PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2004

	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Percent	84.1%	94.4%

### ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP

Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	42.6	13.2
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	42.6	13.4
Seniors who met the grade point average	48.8	49.7

<sup>\*</sup>Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

## GRADUATION RATE

	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours		
Number of Students	384	206		
Number of Diplomas	292	155		
Rate	76.0%	75.7%		

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS										
	Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2004		Eligibility for LIFE Scholarship		Graduation Rate					
	n %		n %		n	%	Met State Objective			
All Students	353	84.1	326	42.6	384	76.0	YES			
Gender										
Male	162	79.6	140	45.7	178	71.3	N/A			
Female	189	87.8	186	40.3	206	80.1	N/A			
Racial/Ethnic Group										
White	150	96.7	146	82.9	172	79.7	N/A			
African-American	182	74.2	161	5.6	188	75.0				
Asian/Pacific Islander	7	85.7	6	100.0	8	75.0	N/A			
Hispanic	12	75.0	10	30.0	14	50.0	N/A			
American Indian/Alaskan	1	I/S	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A			
Racial/Ethnic Group										
Non disabled	341	85.3	309	45.0	361	77.6	N/A			
Disabilities other than speech	12	50.0	17	0.0	23	52.2	N/A			
Migrant Status										
Migrant	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A			
Non-migrant	326	86.2	326	42.6	N/A	N/A	N/A			
English Proficiency										
Limited English Proficient	2	I/S	9	66.7	8	50.0	N/A			
Non-Limited English Proficient	325	85.8	317	42.0	375	76.8	N/A			
Socio-Economic Status										
Subsidized meals	152	80.9	169	0.0	183	78.7	N/A			
Full-pay meals	174	90.8	157	88.5	201	73.6	N/A			

HSAP PERFORMANCE				-,-	-,-				ш,
	Enrollment 1st	% Tested	% Below Basis	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced	Performance Objective	Particinati
							/ % ኞ	/ 0	
Engli All Students	sh/Langua 381	ge Arts - 8	State Perf 9.0	ormance 29.0	Objective 31.7	30.3	71.4	YES	N
Gender	301	10.1	9.0	29.0	31.7	30.3	71.4	IES	IN.
Male Sender	175	76.0	9.4	33.1	31.5	26.0	67.7	N/A	N/
Female	206	81.1	8.6	25.8	31.9	33.7	74.2	N/A	N/
Racial/Ethnic Group	200	01.1	0.0	23.0	31.9	33.7	74.2	IN/A	IN,
White	172	78.5	1.6	17.1	30.2	51.2	86.8	YES	N
African-American	190	78.9	15.0	38.1	34.0	12.9	59.9	YES	N
Asian/Pacific Islander	2	1/S	13.0 I/S	I/S	1/S	1/S	1/S	I/S	1
Hispanic	15	73.3	20.0	50.0	20.0	10.0	30.0	I/S	ı,
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I I
Disability Status		14/71	14/71	14/71	14/71	14/71	14/71	1/0	1,
Not Disabled	345	82.6	5.5	29.5	33.5	31.6	74.5	N/A	N
Disabled	36	41.7	73.3	20.0	N/A	6.7	13.3	I/S	1
Migrant Status	- 00	11.7	7 0.0	20.0	14/71	0.1	10.0	1,0	
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N
Non-Migrant	381	78.7	9.0	29.0	31.7	30.3	71.4	N/A	N
English Proficiency			0.0	20.0	0	00.0		1471	
imited English Proficient	7	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	
Non-Limited English Proficient	374	78.6	8.8	27.8	32.4	31.0	72.5	N/A	N
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	195	75.9	14.3	40.1	31.3	14.3	59.2	YES	N
Full-pay meals	186	81.7	3.5	17.5	32.2	46.9	83.9	N/A	N
	Mathemati	rs - State	Performa	nce Ohie	ctive = 30	0%			
All Students	381	78.5	16.6	35.3	28.7	19.4	59.5	YES	N
Gender	001	70.0	10.0	00.0	20.7	10.4	00.0	120	
Male	175	75.4	16.7	33.3	31.0	19.0	61.1	N/A	N
Female	206	81.1	16.6	36.8	27.0	19.6	58.3	N/A	N.
Racial/Ethnic Group	200	0111	10.0	00.0	27.10	10.0	00.0	1471	
White	172	78.5	3.1	26.4	34.1	36.4	80.6	YES	N
African-American	190	78.4	30.1	41.8	23.3	4.8	40.4	YES	N
Asian/Pacific Islander	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	ı
Hispanic	15	73.3	N/A	70.0	20.0	10.0	50.0	I/S	l i
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	- 1
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	345	82.6	13.8	36.4	29.5	20.4	61.5	N/A	N
Disabled	36	38.9	71.4	14.3	14.3	N/A	21.4	I/S	I.
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N.
Non-Migrant	381	78.5	16.6	35.3	28.7	19.4	59.5	N/A	N.
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	7	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I,
Non-Limited English Proficient	374	78.3	17.0	35.0	28.3	19.8	59.4	N/A	N.
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	195	75.4	27.4	42.5	24.0	6.2	41.1	YES	N
Full-pay meals	186	81.7	5.6	28.0	33.6	32.9	78.3	N/A	N

## **Abbreviations for Missing Data**

N/A Not Applicable N/AV Not Available N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insufficient Sample

## DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

Beaufort High 701002 SCHOOL PROFILE **High Schools** Median Our Change from with Students Hiah School Last Year Like Ours School Students (n= 1,600) Retention rate 11.2% Up from 0.1% 9.5% 9.1% Attendance rate 97.9% Down from 98.0% 95.8% 96.0% Eligible for gifted and talented 22.4% Up from 14.7% 8.1% 5.8% With disabilities other than speech 8.1% Down from 8.6% 12.9% 12.7% 9.2% Down from 9.7% 9.8% Older than usual for grade 9.6% Out-of-school suspensions or 1.3% Down from 24.0% 1.7% 1.6% expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses 10.2% Enrolled in AP/IB programs 38.6% Up from 18.1% 10.7% Successful on AP/IB exams 40.6% 50.1% 53.8% 5.3% 3.7% Annual dropout rate Up from 2.1% 2.7% Career/technology students in 1.3% Down from 3.4% 3.6% 3.6% co-curricular organizations Enrollment in career/technology center 923 Up from 730 425 466 courses Students participating in 13.4% Down from 23.9% 22.2% 25.7% worked-based experiences Career/technology students mastering 81.5% Up from 78.2% 80.0% 77.7% core competencies 100.0% No change 97.5% 99.3% Career/technology completers placed Teachers (n= 89) 50.6% 52.0% Teachers with advanced degrees 50.6% Up from 44.9% Continuing contract teachers 73.0% Up from 63.3% 83.3% 82.1% Highly qualified teachers\*\* 88.9% N/A 91.2% 89.5% Teachers with emergency or 19.5% 7.9% 8.6% provisional certificates Teachers returning from previous year 77.0% Down from 80.3% 85.8% 86.2% Down from 94.7% 95.3% Teacher attendance rate 94.4% 95.3% \$41.060 Average teacher salary \$42.866 Up 5.3% \$40.562 Prof. development days/teacher 12.7 days Up from 12.6 days 10.3 days 10.6 days School Principal's years at school 1.0 No change 6.0 3.0 26.4 to 1 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 28.7 to 1 Down from 30.9 to 1 27.4 to 1 Prime instructional time 89.8% Up from 89.5% 89.8% 90.0% Dollars spent per pupil\* \$5.342 Down 4.1% \$6.173 \$6.310 Percent of expenditures for teacher 57.6% Up from 48.5% 57.8% 57.9% salaries\* Opportunities in the arts Excellent No change Excellent Excellent Parents attending conferences 96.7% Down from 99.2% 91.4% 89.3% SACS accreditation Yes No change Yes Yes Character development program Excellent N/A Average Good \* Prior year audited financial data are reported. **Our District** State Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools\*\* 89.9% 92.0% Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools\*\* 91.1% 88.1% State Objective Met State Objective

65.0%

95.3%

Yes

Yes

Highly qualified teachers in this school\*\*

Student attendance in this school

<sup>\*\*</sup>NOTE: The verification process was not completed for the year reported; therefore the count of highly qualified teachers may not be accurate.

### REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Beaufort High School has focused on several areas of school improvement: communication, graduation rates, student guidance, and instructional methodology. During the past year, the majority of the school's teachers have been trained in Applied Control Theory (ACT). ACT is a communications model that provides adults and students with the skills to deal with conflict in a positive, productive manner. The remaining staff members will be trained during the 2004-05 school year. In addition, two new programs (Men of Honor and Ladies of Distinction) have been initiated to help students focus on improving self-concept and civic responsibility. These particular programs have been very productive in helping to reduce achievement gaps. These programs, combined with the school's summer freshman orientation camps, are helping to better prepare BHS students for learning. At the beginning of the school year, data indicated that passing math courses had been a major roadblock to student success and graduation. In January 2004, the school adopted the Cognitive Tutor math program. The initial pilot program showed a significant increase in student success. The program will be expanded during the 2004-05 year to include supplementing existing curriculum, credit recovery, and a self-paced curriculum. To support the students' guidance program, an Advisor/Advisee program has been developed. The program is centered on character development, civic responsibility, and career guidance. In the area of instructional methodology, the entire staff has been through stage one of Bernice McCarthy's 4 Mat: Learning Styles, Effective Teaching Strategies, and Power Standards Training. The majority of the staff has been trained in Applied Control Theory, and a third of the faculty has been trained in integration and teaming. A focus for the 2004-05 school year will be to continue the training that is in place and add data driven decision making for the entire staff. Two programs that have been planned during the present school year are a new freshman academy and a credit recovery lab. Both programs will be initiated during the 2004-05 school year. An additional goal for the upcoming school year is the development of after-school programs to meet the needs of students and the community. A Comprehensive School Reform grant has given the school the resources to adopt the High School's That Work reform model. This model will be used to continue evaluating and improving student achievement. The principal, Dan Durbin, and the president of the School Improvement Committee, Caroline Banner, are working in concert to ensure egual access to a quality education for all students.

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS						
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*			
Number of surveys returned	64	269	100			
Percent satisfied with learning environment	71.9%	66.5%	69.8%			
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	81.3%	75.4%	65.3%			
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	50.0%	80.8%	51.6%			
*Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools wit	hout grade 11, only	the highest grade	was included.			